

Office.

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **HB** 506 HLS 10RS 1336

Author: DOVE

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For .:

Date: April 1, 2010 1:06 PM

Dept./Agy.: Terrebonne Parish Sheriff's Office

Subject: Dental Benefits Analyst: Lisa Newton

Provides relative to dental benefits for sheriffs and deputies of the Terrebonne Parish Sheriff's Office

SHERIFFS OR +\$900 LF EX See Note Page 1 of 1

<u>Purpose of the Bill:</u> To include one hundred percent of the premium costs of dental benefits paid from the sheriff's general

fund for any sheriff or deputy sheriff who has retired, and is eligible to receive benefits, from the Terrebonne Parish Sheriff's

EXPENDITURES 2010-11 2011-12 **2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 5 -YEAR TOTAL** \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 State Gen. Fd. \$0 Agy. Self-Gen. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 **\$0** \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 **\$0** Ded./Other \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Federal Funds Local Funds \$1,100 \$1,200 \$5,200 <u>\$900</u> \$1,000 \$1,000 \$900 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,100 \$1,200 \$5,200 **Annual Total** 2010-11 2012-13 REVENUES 2011-12 2013-14 2014-15 **5 -YEAR TOTAL** \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 State Gen. Fd. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Agy. Self-Gen. \$0 \$0 **\$0** \$0 \$0 \$0 Ded./Other \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Federal Funds <u>\$0</u> <u>\$0</u> <u>\$0</u> <u>\$0</u> <u>\$0</u> <u>\$0</u> Local Funds

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

Annual Total

There is a potential increase in expenditures as a result of this measure.

\$0

\$0

An official with the Terrebonne Parish Sheriff's Office indicated a potential increase in expenditures may be seen. The fund, which present law makes available to pay premium costs of certain benefits for retirees, will also be made available for spending on dental benefits. They predict the initial annual cost to be approximately \$900. They predict only 4 current retirees will be eligible for dental benefits at an estimated \$18 - \$20 per month. The official allowed for estimated cost increase/additional participation in future years stating they could not know exact numbers since they cannot predict when personnel will retire.

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Sena</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>
<u> </u>	3.5.1 >= \$500,000 Annual Fiscal Cost
	3.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee
	Change

H	<u>louse</u>	
_	_	

6.8(F) >= \$500,000 Annual Fiscal Cost

6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease

Jehnin

Joy Irwin

Director of Advisory Services